On March 17, 2014, new federal Medicaid rules for Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) went into effect. The rules impact many parts of HCBS. One of the most important topics is the places where HCBS can be provided.

Because HCBS programs are offered as alternatives to nursing and intermediate care facility services, the new rules make sure that HCBS are provided in settings that are not institutional in nature. To follow this rule, states must make sure that HCBS settings are part of a larger community, people are able to have choices about their service settings, and that people are assured their rights to privacy, dignity and respect.

States must evaluate their HCBS programs to determine the level of compliance with the new rules. The setting indicated on this form has been identified as requiring to go through the heightened scrutiny process as part of the compliance process.

Additional information on Heightened Scrutiny can be found here: HCBS Settings Rule: Heightened Scrutiny

Setting Information

Site Name:	NORTH EASTERN SERVICE, INC.		Site ID:	909	
Site Address:	300 E 100 N #A-B Vernal, Utah 84078				
Website:	Nesutah.com				
	s Served at this dless of funding:	43	# of Medicaid Individual Served at this location		43
Waiver(s) Served:		HCBS Provider Type:			
☑ Acquired Br	ain injury		☑ Day Support Services		
☐ Aging Waiver		Adult Day Care			
✓ Community	Supports		☐ Residential Facility		
☑ Community Transition		☐ Supported Living			
□ New Choices		☐ Employment Preparation Services			
Description of Waivers can be found here:					
https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/					
Heightened Sc	rutiny Prong:				
☐ Prong 1: Set	ting is in a publicly	or privately operated f	facility that provides in	patient in	stitutional treatment
☐ Prong 2: Setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution					
☑ Prong 3: Fro	m the initial asses	sment, the setting was	found to have the effe	ct of isolat	ing individuals from the
broader comm	unity. The followi	ng is the area that was i	dentified:		
☑ A. Individuals have limited, if any, opportunities for interaction in and with the broader community					
and /or the setting is physically located separate and apart from the broader community and					
	does not facilita	te individual opportunit	y to access the broade	er commur	ity and participate in

	community	services consistent with their person centered service plan	
□ B.	\square B. The setting restricts individuals choice to receive services or to engage in activities outside of the		
setting			
☑ C.	☑ C. The setting has qualities that are institutional in nature. These can include:		
•	• The set	ting has policies and practices which control the behaviors of individuals; are rigid in	
	their sc	hedules; have multiple restrictive practices in place	
	The set	ting does not ensure an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect	
Onsite Visit(s) Co	onducted:	10/19/21 (virtual)	
Description of Se	etting:		
The setting is a d	ay support	services program location in an area of Vernal containing stores, a library, museums,	
and other comm	unity resou	rces.	
		e to apply for and participate in the USU technical assistance program. They engaged	
		gh USU to identify what areas they needed to focus on to come into compliance with	
_		shed a transformation plan for their setting. As this was a very intensive and optional	
process, they did	not go thr	ough the additional review onsite visit with the State in 2019.	
Current Standing	of Setting	:	
☐ Currently Con	pliant: the	setting has overcome the qualities identified above	
	l: .: 5		
• •		lan: the setting has an approved remediation plan demonstrating how it will come	
	The appro	eved timeline for compliance is: 12/30/2022, Validation Visit will be completed in	
January 2023			
Evidence th	e Settin	g is Fully Compliant or Will Be Fully Compliant	
Prong 1: The set	ting is in a p	publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment;	
the setting over	omes this	presumption of an institutional setting.	
Compliance:	□ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable	
_	_	building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; the	
setting overcom	es this pres	sumption of an institutional setting.	
Compliance:	\square Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable	
~	_	regrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the	
greater commun	ity, includi	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings,	
greater communengage in comm	ity, includi unity life, c	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same	
greater communengage in comm	ity, includi unity life, c	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings,	
greater communengage in comm	ity, includi unity life, c as individu	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same	
greater commun engage in comm degree of access	ity, includi unity life, o as individu	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same uals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.	
greater commun engage in comm degree of access	ity, includi unity life, c as individu	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same uals not receiving Medicaid HCBS. Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	

All residential and day support contracts adjusted to accommodate person centered ratios to result in more person centered services available. Day program will be more involved in the community and more person centered in regards to activities. NES will conduct pilot groups with the day service without walls model. NES will expand activity options by allowing clients to suggest multiple options that they are interested in. NES will reduce ratio size for community activities.

Onsite Visit Summary (2021):

Individuals are able to switch groups based on interests and needs. There is a clear process for staff to follow when an individual shows interest in competitive integrative work. The following concerns were identified during the validation visit: The master calendar was created by staff without much input from the individuals served. Individuals reported they were required to participate in activities they did not want to. Staff don't appear to understand how to promote community integration, even when given an example. One staff member said, "we just try to make sure that they are not saying stuff that will creep people out."

The following concerns were also identified at an organization level after several visits were done at multiple settings and the provider was asked to address these items at an organizational level: The settings need to do a better job at promoting community integration: Staff do not appear to have an understanding on how to promote community integration activities. Skill building is not a focus while in the community. Staff control individual's money when in the community.

Remediation Plan Summary:

North Eastern Services is currently working with staff to develop a better understanding on how to promote community integration activities. Individual skill building will be discussed and staff will be trained on looking for skill building during meetings and will be given ideas on how to promote community integration activities. NES is currently building a training and quiz to be completed by staff.

Individuals will have the responsibility to bring their and manage their money for activities unless there is a human rights restriction in place.

Prong 3 B: The se	etting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific
settings.	
Compliance:	☑ Met □ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant
Summary:	The setting does not restrict access to non-disability settings. The setting has an admission process that assesses individuals' needs and preferences and regularly reassesses to ensure services are provided in a person-centered manner.

making life choice	etting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in ces. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from traint. The setting ensures the individual has the freedom and support to control his/her own tivities.
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant
Summary:	Transformation Plan Summary:

NES will conduct pilot groups with the day service without walls model. NES will expand activity options by allowing clients to suggest multiple options that they are interested in. NES will reduce ratio size for community activities.

Onsite Visit Summary (2021):

Staff used "functional" labels when talking about activity options. Staff talked about a variety of restrictions including food, supervision (individuals around children specifically), medications, and sharps, and were not able to provide examples of how others were able to circumvent the restrictions.

The following concerns were also identified at an organization level after several visits were done at multiple settings and the provider was asked to address these items at an organizational level: The settings must do a better job at individualized schedules: There is not a formal process for individuals to give input into the master calendar/schedule. Individual's are not given an option of alternative activities if they do not wish to participate in an activity. Individual's cannot move between groups based on preferred activities/staff/friends they want to participate with.

Staff control individual's money when in the community.

The settings must train their staff on communicating about and treating individuals served with dignity and respect: Functional labels and language were widely used across the settings by both staff and individuals served. Typically, individuals were described as "low functioning" or "high functioning."

The settings need to do a better job at not regimenting individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices: There were group restrictions for the entire setting in place (such as personal phones, food). There were reported restrictions where there was no way for others to circumvent the restrictions (such as sharps and food). Both staff and individuals served reported that either "all" or a lot of individuals had restrictions in place.

Remediation Plan Summary:

All individuals are encouraged to communicate their preference of activities with the Day Program Manager. With all individuals input, a Day Program calendar is then created and sent home with all individuals. It is the individual's choice to participate in any activities for the day. If an individual chooses not to participate in an activity for a specific day and is able to provide the Day Program manager with sufficient notice on a desired change to the calendar, accommodations can be made to the schedule, staffing, etc. All individuals are allowed to move between groups as they desire. Based on desires, client interests, local activities/events, and the time of year, the day program manager builds the schedule.

Staff will be trained on not using functional labels as a representation for the individuals we work with. We will look at our programs and how the different groups and teams are organized and not refer to the groups as "low functioning" or "high functioning". NES is building a training and quiz to be completed by all staff.

All individuals are encouraged to participate in learning opportunities. Material items not associated with the learning objective are most often distractions and are discouraged. Many individuals have behavior plans and rights restrictions in place for the safety of self and others surrounding them. Those individuals who do not have the direct restriction are able to possess items by keeping them in their personal belongings or request assistance from staff to obtain desired items. Staff will be trained on what restrictions are in place in each program so they can have a better understanding and help accommodate those without restrictions. NES is building

	a training and quiz to be completed by all staff.	
Overall, the setting enforces the Home and Community-Based Settings Regulation requirements.		
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
Summary:	Overall, the setting has a plan to remediate the institutional and segregating characteristics that were identified in their setting. A validation visit will be conducted to ensure their remediation plan has been implemented prior to final compliance being determined.	

Input from Individuals Served and Staff

	Summary of interviews (2021):
Individuals	 Individuals were given the autonomy to choose their daily activities, while also given
Served	the opportunities to learn out in their community.
Summary:	 Individuals did all agree they enjoyed their time at the setting.
	 Individuals were able to apply and obtain jobs if they chose so.
	Summary of interviews (2021):
Staff	There is a job coach to help individuals obtain jobs.
	 Staff indicated that activities are chosen by the individuals.
Summary:	Staff reported they try to accommodate individuals requests when able to, due to
	resources.

Ongoing Remed	iation Activities
Current Standing	g: 🗆 Currently Compliant 🗹 Approved Remediation Plan
Continued Remediation Activities	The setting is finalizing its remediation activities in prong 3A and 3C. the State will conduct another validation visit to ensure they are compliant in the areas indicated.
Ongoing Monitoring Activities	The State will use the following tools to ensure settings continue compliance with the Settings Rule criteria: Conducting individual served experience surveys Addressing settings compliance during the annual person centered service planning process Ongoing provider training and certification Monitoring through critical incident reporting Case Management/Support Coordinator visit monitoring HCBS Waiver Reviews/Audits

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023
Setting Specific Comments:
Comment:

The Disability Law Center (DLC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the HCBS Settings Rule Heightened Scrutiny process as both a member of the settings stakeholder committee and through the public comment process. As the Protection and Advocacy agency for people with disabilities for the State of Utah, the Disability Law Center ("DLC") is uniquely suited to provide assistance and input during this process. Based on our own observations as the P&A as well as our evaluation of the state's assessments of settings, the state did not engage in a sufficient assessment process or provide adequate support to bring settings into compliance with the rule prior to the February 2023 deadline. We are concerned that HCBS waiver dollars will continue to be spent on segregated, institutional settings despite the state's obligations under the HCBS settings rule, Title II of the ADA and Olmstead. This heightened scrutiny evidentiary package demonstrates these ongoing concerns as detailed below.

Response:

While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The materials provided by the State in the newly-released evidentiary packets raise concerns about whether the identified settings currently demonstrate the qualities of HCBS. In most instances, the state has only completed a final desk review and/or virtual review instead of an in-person visit. We believe that this is insufficient to confirm that a setting does not isolate individuals or have the indices of an institution.

Response:

The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process.

Comment:

In many instances, the packages state that the setting is compliant based on a remediation plan and indicate that a validation visit will be completed in the future. Many of the reviews state that individuals are not getting into the community to the degree they would wish and that there are still institution-like restrictions on individuals in the settings. The state needs to give the results of final validations to the work group and other stakeholders before it can submit the setting to CMS for heightened scrutiny.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process.

Comment:

The reviews in many instances lack the detail necessary to determine whether a setting is institutional/segregating. For example, there are reviews of 14c certificate holders that do not indicate whether the setting will pay subminimum wage moving forward. Reviews indicate that individuals access the community, but in many instances don't specify how large the groups are, what types of activities they engage in and the frequency with which activities occur. Some reviews mention work groups/work enclaves, but do not specify what type of work individuals engage in, where people work and how large the work groups are. The reviews frequently say that the setting does not restrict access to the community, that community amenities are within "miles" and that there is access to public transportation, but often do not specify how the facility supports individuals to access these amenities/public transportation.

Response:

While the State agrees that certain criteria can create concerns with compliance, several elements described do not determine on their own whether a setting meets or fails requirements. Individual settings are reviewed and assessed on their merit. For example, payment of sub-minimum wage work or group sizes in and of themselves are not including or excluding criteria. The state determines compliance based on factors such as person centered planning, individual choice and autonomy, individualized schedules, and individuals self-reporting they are accessing the community at the level that they desire.

Comment:

We are very concerned about how the state has handled non-residential settings, particularly large day programs and sheltered workshops. These reviews do not demonstrate that the state has ensured that these particularly problematic settings have remediated sufficient to comply with the settings rule as well as title II of the ADA and Olmstead. Again, many final reviews have not been completed in person, and most frequently the state is submitting sites that have submitted a remediation plan but have not been validated as remediated.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process. While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Comment:

Reviews indicate that individuals are still being segregated by "level of functioning" and even by whether an individual resides in an ICF or an HCBS setting.

Response:

The State agrees that settings identified as having this concern are institution and segregating in nature. The purpose of the heightened scrutiny process was to identify settings that were institutional and segregating in nature and go through the process of showing how they overcame those qualities. Settings submitting for heightened scrutiny were required to remediate through training of staff, provide evidence of compliance, and demonstrate compliance through validation that they were compliant in these areas of concern.

Comment:

Reviews do not indicate that the EPR codes which contemplate meaningful, individualized, time-limited prevocational programs are being implemented in Workshops. Reviews do not indicate that individuals are spending at least 20% of their time in the community engaging in activities chosen by the individual. Reviews do not (for the most part) indicate whether or not the provider is continuing to pay subminimum wage. Reviews do not consider what type of work individuals engage in the setting and whether or not that work is chosen by the individual. Frequently, specificity as to how many individuals are working in a group is not given. Frequently, information about how settings are supporting individuals to gain competitive, integrated employment as guaranteed by the settings rule is not given.

Response:

As with all settings, the State's review was for the purpose of determining whether the tenants of the Settings Rule had been met, regardless of which specific services were delivered at the location. The State has separate compliance monitoring for the appropriate authorization of Employment Preparation Services and the delivery of those services by providers.

Comment:

Frequently, reviews indicate that there are still restrictive practices in the settings indicating an institution-like environment.

Response:

The State agrees that many reviews indicated settings still had restrictive practices in place indicating an institution-like environment as they had not yet gone through their final validation process at the time they went out for heightened scrutiny. The State has spent considerable time with settings and providers providing technical assistance beyond what was documented in their remediation plans to remediate their institutional and segregating characteristics to come into compliance with the rule.

Summary of Public Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023

Setting Specific Comments:

Comment:

One commenter stated North Eastern Services, Inc site 909 is a day support services program located at 300 E 100 N #A-B Vernal, Utah 84078. It provides services to 43 waiver participants. The materials provided by the State in the evidentiary packet do not demonstrate that the identified setting currently demonstrates the qualities of HCBS. For stakeholders to provide effective feedback, the state needs to present stakeholders with final validations of compliance with the rule rather than un-validated remediation plans. We have concerns that the most recent assessment of the setting was not completed in person. In our experience as the P&A, it is difficult to

accurately assess characteristics of an institution as well as to communicate effectively with waiver participants without an in-person visit.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. A validation visit was conducted in January (1/12/23) to ensure that the remediation plan was implemented and the setting was not compliant in all the areas indicated. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating the evidentiary package lacks specificity regarding compliance with the rule. The state should gather more information regarding how individuals are accessing the community and whether or not individuals are accessing the community in the way and to the extent desired. The review summary states that NES plans to use smaller groups and to individualize opportunities for community engagement, but lacks specificity as to the size of the groups, what types of activities people are engaging in, how often activities are offered and how transportation to these activities is facilitated. In addition the evidentiary package states that individuals will no longer be paid subminimum wage but lacks information about how people are given the opportunity to seek competitive, integrated employment.

Response:

As part of the remediation process after the 2021 visit State staff provided technical assistance to NES leadership. As part of this technical assistance the provider works with State staff to understand the specific expectations of the Settings rule. As the size of groups, the types of activities, frequency of activities, and transportation methods are unique to each Setting the State outlines expectations to providers and then determines if they are meeting those expectations during the follow up visit. The provider indicated through working with the USU transformation process that they were ending subminimum wage. During the 2021 visit State staff observed from staff and individual interviews that a process for obtaining competitive integrated employment was in place. The State conducted a follow up visit on 1/12/23 to ensure implementation of the remediation plan. The site was not determined compliant at the time of the validation visit and there were additional items in need of correction. There were concerns that when there were activities that everyone wanted to participate in the provider would take everyone. An example was an activity where a large group of individuals like to go to a recreation center. Once at the center small groups of individuals would go and participate in the activities that they were interested in with other members of the local community. We discussed with the provider that it would be better to split those trips to the recreation center at different times of day or throughout the week. We asked the provider to submit a plan to accommodate situations where everyone at the setting wants to participate in the same activity without creating a situation of reverse integration that can happen when a large number of individuals all participate in an activity. We asked for this documentation for review by March 17th, 2023 and have been working with the provider to ensure this timeline. In addition to site specific items the State has been working

with NES to complete provider wide training and streamline activity calendars, rights restrictions, and processes for ensuring individual's involvement in activity planning and skill development for use at all NES settings. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating the remediation plan is insufficient given the lack of detail and given the large obstacles to compliance that must be overcome by the February 2023 deadline. This is a large day settings program and the state's own reviews demonstrate significant barriers to compliance including limited community integration and restrictive practices in the setting. Consumers state that they are not included in activity planning, and that they are forced to participate in activities that they do not want to (it is unclear if this is related to community outings, activities in the setting or both). When asked about how they facilitate community integration, staff responded "we just try to make sure that they are not saying stuff that will creep people out." Individuals seem to be not only segregated from the larger community of non-disabled peers, but are also categorized in groups based on support needs or "functional" labels. Consumers are not given choices regarding what activities they participate in within the setting and it is unclear that these activities are focused on skill building and the desires of the consumers themselves. There are blanket restrictions on access to food and phones, not based on any assessed need.

Response:

As part of the remediation process following the 2021 visit, State staff conducted technical assistance with NES Leadership to ensure that they are working towards completing the items in their remediation plan. Following a validation visit notes from the visit are reviewed by State staff and a report of findings is sent to the provider for response on how outstanding items will be addressed. The State conducted a follow up visit on 1/12/23 to ensure implementation of the remediation plan. The site was not determined compliant at the time of the validation visit and there were additional items in need of correction. From the visit we observed that while staff are having employment conversations with individuals, some staff didn't express the idea that all individuals are employable. We asked the provider to provide documentation of training to staff regarding promoting employment conversations and opportunities for all individuals. We found that individuals have choice in their activities and are spending time within the community. Individuals can choose what activities they want to participate in and who they want to interact with. Individuals give ideas of things they want to do, and they are put on the schedule. We observed restrictions around locked medications, and sharp objects being locked up. We asked for documentation of either removal of blanket restrictions, documentation of workarounds to the restriction, or removal of the restriction with implementation of specific restrictions for individuals in need of them. We asked for this documentation for review by March 17th, 2023 and have been working with the provider to ensure this timeline. In addition to site specific items the State has been working with NES to complete provider wide training and streamline activity calendars, rights restrictions, and processes for ensuring individual's involvement in activity planning and skill development for use at all NES settings. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating additionally, it is unclear whether or not this site is offering pre-vocational training. The state transition plan proposed a hub and spoke model for pre-vocational services called EPR which requires 20% of a consumer's time to be spent in the community, meaningful and individualized training focused on gaining competitive, integrated employment. This service is only available on a time-limited basis. If this site is offering pre-vocational services, the state needs to assess whether the setting has implemented the EPR/hub and spoke model with fidelity.

Response:

This setting does not offer EPR as a service.

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The Disability Law Center (DLC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the HCBS Settings Rule Heightened Scrutiny process as both a member of the settings stakeholder committee and through the public comment process. As the Protection and Advocacy agency for people with disabilities for the State of Utah, the Disability Law Center ("DLC") is uniquely suited to provide assistance and input during this process. Based on our own observations as the P&A as well as our evaluation of the state's assessments of settings, the state did not engage in a sufficient assessment process or provide adequate support to bring settings into compliance with the rule prior to the February 2023 deadline. We are concerned that HCBS waiver dollars will continue to be spent on segregated, institutional settings despite the state's obligations under the HCBS settings rule, Title II of the ADA and Olmstead. This heightened scrutiny evidentiary package demonstrates these ongoing concerns as detailed below.

Response:

While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Recommendation:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: December 14, 2022- December 29, 2022

Only one member of the Stakeholder Workgroup Responded. Their specific comments are noted above.

Utah's Recommendation

Recommendation: Compliant

At the time the heightened scrutiny packet was submitted for public comment, the State had not completed the final validation visit. The State has since completed the final validation visit and determined the setting has overcome the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community and is in compliance with the HCBS Settings Rule.